



Staff : SMT. JISU ELSA JACOB

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 90.52

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	62.5%	710
		Good	14.13	19	29.69%	268.47
		Fair	10.28	5	7.81%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	37	57.81%	536.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	21	32.81%	248.01
		Fair	8.11	6	9.38%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	60.94%	292.5
		Good	5.71	19	29.69%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	6	9.38%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	73.44%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	10	15.63%	36.6
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.13%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	7.81%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	81.25%	208
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	9	14.06%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	4.69%	4.95
2		Excellent	9.25	39	60.94%	360.75
-	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	24	37.5%	152.16
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.56%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	50	78.13%	237.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	10.94%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	10.94%	9.8
		Yes	6.88	51	79.69%	350.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	12	18.75%	46.68
		No	0.74	1	1.56%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0.74
		Pleasant	6.75	59	92.19%	398.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	4.69%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	4.69%	0.34
		Can't Judge	1.20	2	3.13%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	62	96.88%	3.88 713
	5. Sincerity of the teacher					
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.56%	1.33
		Unable to Judge Blank	5.06	1	1.56%	5.06
			4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	40	62.5%	450
		Good	9.03	22	34.38%	198.66
		Fair	6.46	2	3.13%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- ...acurate but not up to the mark

Special Remarks

- ..noting



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering Sree Chitra Thirunal College Of Engineering Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in

Staff : DEEPA KRISHNAN M.K

Subject : PHT100 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS A (FOR CIRCUIT BRANCHES) Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 89.23

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	64.06%	727.75
1		Good	14.13	15	23.44%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	8	12.5%	82.24
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	38	59.38%	551
	Explanations	Good	11.81	17	26.56%	200.77
		Fair	8.11	7	10.94%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	2	3.13%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	38	59.38%	285
		Good	5.71	18	28.13%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	6	9.38%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.13%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	50	78.13%	292.5
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	12.5%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.69%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	4.69%	4.92
	E Whathar the topphare distates notes only	No	4.00	51	79.69%	204
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	8	12.5%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	7.81%	8.25
2	1. Teochora obility to organiza loctura	Excellent	9.25	40	62.5%	370
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	22	34.38%	139.48
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.56%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	53	82.81%	251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	8	12.5%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	4.69%	4.2
		Yes	6.88	56	87.5%	385.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	10.94%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.56%	1.78
	4. Pabaviar of the tapabar	Pleasant	6.75	54	84.38%	364.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.25%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.56%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	7.81%	9.7
	E. Singerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	56	87.5%	644
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.13%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	7.81%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	1	1.56%	4.03
	C. Querell Teaching effective and	Excellent	11.25	43	67.19%	483.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	21.88%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	6	9.38%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.56%	2.03
		Junt Judge	2.00	'	1.50 /6	2.00

Strength and Weakness

• ...

Special Remarks

• ..





Staff : NAYANA NIRMAL S

Subject : PHT100 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS A (FOR CIRCUIT BRANCHES) Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 58

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 81.15

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	62.07%	639
		Good	14.13	7	12.07%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	4	6.9%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.72%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	10	17.24%	80
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	34	58.62%	493
	Explanations	Good	11.81	11	18.97%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	2	3.45%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	1.72%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	10	17.24%	40.9
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	60.34%	262.5
		Good	5.71	9	15.52%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	3	5.17%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	1.72%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	10	17.24%	22.1
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	38	65.52%	222.3
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10.34%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.45%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	12	20.69%	19.68
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	35	60.34%	140
		Yes	0.67	9	15.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	14	24.14%	23.1
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	35	60.34%	323.75
	T. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	12	20.69%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.72%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	10	17.24%	31.6
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	72.41%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	4	6.9%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	12	20.69%	16.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	38	65.52%	261.44
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	15.52%	35.01
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	11	18.97%	19.58
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	74.14%	290.25
		Indifferent	2.78	2	3.45%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.72%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	12	20.69%	23.28
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	75.86%	506
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.45%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	10.34%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	6	10.34%	24.18
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	62.07%	405
		Good	9.03	9	15.52%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	2	3.45%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	1.72%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	10	17.24%	20.3

Strength and Weakness

• ...

Special Remarks

•





Staff : SRI. SUMESH C.K.

Subject : EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Users Completed : 58

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 78.02

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	62.07%	639
		Good	14.13	7	12.07%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	1	1.72%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.72%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	13	22.41%	104
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	31	53.45%	449.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	12	20.69%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	1	1.72%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	1.72%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	13	22.41%	53.17
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	55.17%	240
		Good	5.71	12	20.69%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	1	1.72%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	13	22.41%	28.73
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	34	58.62%	198.9
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	12.07%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.45%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	15	25.86%	24.6
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	35	60.34%	140
		Yes	0.67	9	15.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	14	24.14%	23.1
2	1. Taachara ability ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	33	56.9%	305.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	15.52%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.45%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	14	24.14%	44.24
		Just Right	4.75	37	63.79%	175.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	8	13.79%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	13	22.41%	18.2
	2 Deep the teacher analyzana questioning	Yes	6.88	37	63.79%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	13.79%	31.12
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	13	22.41%	23.14
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	68.97%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	3	5.17%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.45%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	13	22.41%	25.22
	E. Singerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	68.97%	460
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.17%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	7	12.07%	35.42
		Blank	4.03	8	13.79%	32.24
		Excellent	11.25	31	53.45%	348.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	18.97%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	2	3.45%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	1.72%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	13	22.41%	26.39
L		Junt Judge	2.00		LL.TI/0	20.03

Strength and Weakness

• .

Special Remarks

• .





Staff : SRI. ARUN M.

Subject : EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 91.93

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	50	78.13%	887.5
		Good	14.13	10	15.63%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	4	6.25%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	45	70.31%	652.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	14	21.88%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	5	7.81%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	43	67.19%	322.5
		Good	5.71	14	21.88%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	5	7.81%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	2	3.13%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	51	79.69%	298.35
		10 to 25	3.66	5	7.81%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	4	6.25%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	6.25%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	56	87.5%	224
		Yes	0.67	6	9.38%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.13%	3.3
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	46	71.88%	425.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	25%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.56%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	56	87.5%	266
		Too Fast	1.34	5	7.81%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	4.69%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	49	76.56%	337.12
		Some Times	3.89	12	18.75%	46.68
		No	0.74	3	4.69%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	57	89.06%	384.75
		Indifferent	2.78	5	7.81%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.56%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.56%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	60	93.75%	690
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.56%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.13%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	1.56%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	45	70.31%	506.25
		Good	9.03	14	21.88%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	5	7.81%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- ..
- ...

Special Remarks

- ..
- ...





Staff : SRI. SAJITHSETHU P

Subject : EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 93.96

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	51	79.69%	905.25
		Good	14.13	12	18.75%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	1	1.56%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	42	65.63%	609
	Explanations	Good	11.81	17	26.56%	200.77
		Fair	8.11	4	6.25%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	1.56%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	46	71.88%	345
		Good	5.71	16	25%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	2	3.13%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	51	79.69%	298.35
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	10.94%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.13%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	6.25%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	59	92.19%	236
		Yes	0.67	4	6.25%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.56%	1.65
2	1. Topohore ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	45	70.31%	416.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	19	29.69%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	55	85.94%	261.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	10.94%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.13%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	56	87.5%	385.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	12.5%	31.12
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	64	100%	432
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	E. Cincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	63	98.44%	724.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.56%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	47	73.44%	528.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	15	23.44%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	2	3.13%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0
			2.05	U	0 /0	U

Strength and Weakness

- ...effective teaching skill

Special Remarks

- ...nting





Staff : SMT. RESHMI KRISHNAN S

Subject : EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 96.93

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	55	85.94%	976.25
		Good	14.13	8	12.5%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	1	1.56%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	56	87.5%	812
	Explanations	Good	11.81	7	10.94%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	1	1.56%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	53	82.81%	397.5
		Good	5.71	10	15.63%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	1	1.56%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	56	87.5%	327.6
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	4.69%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.56%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	6.25%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	60	93.75%	240
		Yes	0.67	3	4.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.56%	1.65
2	1. Taaahara ahilitu ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	59	92.19%	545.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	7.81%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	0. Cread of presentation	Just Right	4.75	61	95.31%	289.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.13%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	1.56%	1.4
		Yes	6.88	61	95.31%	419.68
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	4.69%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Pohovier of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	61	95.31%	411.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.13%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.56%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	E. Cincovity of the tapakar	Sincere	11.50	64	100%	736
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	55	85.94%	618.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	10.94%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	2	3.13%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0
		Jantouuge	2.00		070	~

Strength and Weakness

• ...

Special Remarks

• ...





Staff : DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject : HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 92.95

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	49	76.56%	869.75
		Good	14.13	11	17.19%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	3	4.69%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	1.56%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	47	73.44%	681.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	14	21.88%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	2	3.13%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	1.56%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	47	73.44%	352.5
		Good	5.71	14	21.88%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	2	3.13%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	1.56%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	54	84.38%	315.9
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	7.81%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.13%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	4.69%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	56	87.5%	224
		Yes	0.67	6	9.38%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.13%	3.3
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	45	70.31%	416.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	28.13%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	58	90.63%	275.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	7.81%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	1.56%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	56	87.5%	385.28
	o. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	9.38%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	3.13%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	59	92.19%	398.25
		Indifferent	2.78	5	7.81%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	60	93.75%	690
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.13%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.13%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	73.44%	528.75
		Good	9.03	13	20.31%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	3	4.69%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.56%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

•

Special Remarks

• ...





Staff : NARMADA RN

Subject : HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION Session Name: End Evaluation Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 96.64

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	55	85.94%	976.25
	, ,	Good	14.13	7	10.94%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	2	3.13%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	55	85.94%	797.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	7	10.94%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	2	3.13%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	56	87.5%	420
		Good	5.71	6	9.38%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	2	3.13%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	59	92.19%	345.15
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.56%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.56%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	4.69%	4.92
		No	4.00	57	89.06%	228
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	10.94%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2		Excellent	9.25	53	82.81%	490.25
-	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	15.63%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	63	98.44%	299.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.56%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
		Yes	6.88	58	90.63%	399.04
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	9.38%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	64	100%	432
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78		0%	
				0		0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	64	100%	736
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	55	85.94%	618.75
		Good	9.03	7	10.94%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	2	3.13%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
1		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

•

Special Remarks

•





Staff : DR. JAYA J B

Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS Session Name: End Evaluation B Session Id : TE-SN365-Aug-2020

Users Completed : 64

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 A Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 88.02

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	71.88%	816.5
		Good	14.13	8	12.5%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	9	14.06%	92.52
		Poor	3.53	1	1.56%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	37	57.81%	536.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	16	25%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	9	14.06%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	2	3.13%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	60.94%	292.5
		Good	5.71	12	18.75%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	11	17.19%	44.44
		Poor	1.13	2	3.13%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	52	81.25%	304.2
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	7.81%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	4	6.25%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	4.69%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	53	82.81%	212
		Yes	0.67	7	10.94%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	6.25%	6.6
2	1. Taaahara ahiiitu ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	39	60.94%	360.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	19	29.69%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	6	9.38%	15
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	0. Croad of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	73.44%	223.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	11	17.19%	14.74
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	9.38%	8.4
		Yes	6.88	46	71.88%	316.48
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	17.19%	42.79
		No	0.74	6	9.38%	4.44
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.56%	1.78
	4. Pahaviar of the tapahar	Pleasant	6.75	58	90.63%	391.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.13%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.13%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.13%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	59	92.19%	678.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.13%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	4.69%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	40	62.5%	450
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	21.88%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	8	12.5%	51.68
		Poor	1.56	2	3.13%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0
		Jant Judge	2.03		U /0	v

Strength and Weakness

- ...cannot convey the concept clearly

Special Remarks

• ...